4

Filed

RECEIVED

2012 SEP 10 PM 5:06

M.L. HATCHER, CLK
U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
W.D. OF WA AT SEATTLE
BY__________ DEP CLK

Honorable Marc L. Barreca
Submitted for a hearing on July 20, 2012
Absent any motion scheduled to approve
"Proposed Order On Claims"

THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

In Re ADAM R. GROSSMAN	NO. 10-19817 ADAM R. GROSSMAN'S OBJECTION TO PROPOSED ORDER ON CLAIMS (#2)

S/ADAM R. GROSSMAN/
5766 27TH AVE NE
SEATTLE, WA 98105
646-342-1994
BK@ADAMREEDGROSSMAN.COM

This claim should be denied.

No Caption -- Analogous Wording To Docket #369

There is no caption on the upper right part of the first page because there was no hearing or motion to approve claims. Rather, the trustee's attorney submitted suggested "Order Allowing Claims" in Docket #368 absent any motion to approve claims for which a purported "Order Allowing Claims" would have been relevant.

Similar to docket entry #369 "Tsai Law Company's Objection To Proposed Order On Claims" (See Exhibit "1") which objects to a proposed order absent any motion to approve the order, I have similarly submitted this document, "Objection to Proposed Order On Claims."

Fraudulent Claim Should Be Denied

This claim for the Beth Shalom ECC should be rejected for the following reasons. The claimant,

(a) submitted and amount that was inaccurate when submitted (not shown); and,

(b) has been alerted that the amount was inaccurate (not shown); and,

(c) was advised submitting inaccurate claims is improper (not shown); and,

(d) is an unsecured claimant, not a priority DSO under 11 USC § 507(a); and,

(e) has charged late fees or interest after the filing of a bankruptcy petition; and,

(f) the majority of the amount claimed owed is duplicated in Claim #17; and,

(g) the majority of the amount claimed owed was already paid; and,

(h) payment of the majority of the amount claimed owed was acknowledged (below); and,

(i) the claim was still not adjusted.

While I would like to pay everything I have agreed to pay at 100% and I have never asked for nor sought a discharge, I do wish to repay debts only once -- not multiple times.

s/Adam R. Grossman/
5766 27th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98105
646-342-1994
BK@AdamReedGrossman.com

Date: Tue, 24 Jul 2012 21:03:21 +0000
To: Grossman, Adam <arg@adamreedgrossman.com>

Thanks for your note...

From: Adam R. Grossman [arg@AdamReedGrossman.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 24, 2012 1:23 PM

> I am sending a courtesy reminder that the claim Beth Shalom has submitted was not offset by the $6,000 you reported below... triple submissions may have negative effects as seen by the bankruptcy court. I do not know. I am not an attorney.

On 11/23/2011 2:01 PM, Tzachi Litov wrote,

My apologies I haven't gotten back to you. We did receive a payment of $6,000 towards the balance of your account.

Dated August 31, 2012, signed in Seattle, WA.

s/Adam R. Grossman/

Resubmitted and resigned

Dated September 10, 2012, signed in Seattle, WA.

s/Adam R. Grossman/

S/ADAM R. GROSSMAN/
5766 27TH AVE NE
SEATTLE, WA 98105
646-342-1994
BK@ADAMREEDGROSSMAN.COM

Exhibit "1"

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON, AT SEATTLE

In re ADAM GROSSMAN Debtor,	)	NO. 10-19817 TSAI LAW COMPANY'S OBJECTION TO PROPOSED ORDER ON CLAIMS

Special Counsel for Debtor Tsai Law Company PLLC, objects to the proposed Order Allowing Claims insofar as Tsai Law Company's Chapter 11 administrative claim amount is shown by the Trustee as $30,000 on page 9 of the proposed Order, when in actuality, the Court has approved Tsai Law Company's compensation request in the Chapter 11 proceeding in amount of $62,079 (Docket No. 196 attached). Tsai Law Company requests that the Order Allowing Claims accurately reflect the approved amount.

Dated this 13th of July, 2012

s/John H. O'Rourke WSBA 21615
WSBA 21615 Attorney for Tsai Law Company

LAW OFFICE OF JOHN H. O'ROURKE
2101 FOURTH AVENUE, SUITE 1560
SEATTLE, WA 98121
(206) 824-2802

S/ADAM R. GROSSMAN/
5766 27TH AVE NE
SEATTLE, WA 98105
646-342-1994
BK@ADAMREEDGROSSMAN.COM